



UMC Utrecht



MEDICAL RESEARCH  
INSTITUTE  
OF NEW ZEALAND



CCCTG  
Canadian Critical Care  
Trials Group



## Domain-Specific Appendix: COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN THERAPY DOMAIN

### **REMAP-CAP: Randomized, Embedded, Multifactorial Adaptive Platform trial for Community-Acquired Pneumonia**

---

COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain-Specific Appendix Version 3 dated <insert date>

## Summary

In this domain of the REMAP-CAP trial, participants meeting the platform-entry criteria for REMAP-CAP with microbiological testing confirmed COVID-19 infection will be randomized to receive one of two interventions:

- No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)
- High titer convalescent plasma

At this participating site the following interventions have been selected within this domain:

- ☐ No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)
- ☐ High titer convalescent plasma

This Domain has domain-specific stratum. Sites that participate in this domain must participate in both domain-specific stratum.

This DSA applies to the following states and/or stratum:

Stratum	Pandemic infection suspected or proven (PISOP)						Pandemic infection neither suspected nor proven (PINSNP)
Core protocol documents	REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix, or REMAP-COVID Core Protocol						REMAP-CAP Core Protocol
Illness Severity State	Moderate State			Severe State			Severe State
Domain-specific stratum	Non-Immune Suppressed stratum	Immune Suppressed stratum		Non-Immune Suppressed stratum	Immune Suppressed stratum		N/A
Domain-specific stratum	N/A	No Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum	Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum	N/A	No Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum	Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum	N/A
Interventions available in this Domain + State	Not available	No immunoglobulin High titer plasma	No immunoglobulin High titer plasma	Not available	No immunoglobulin High titer plasma	No immunoglobulin High titer plasma	Not available
Interventions submitted for approval at this site	Not available	<input type="checkbox"/> No immunoglobulin <input type="checkbox"/> High titer plasma		Not available	<input type="checkbox"/> No immunoglobulin <input type="checkbox"/> High titer plasma		Not available
Domain offered at this site in these locations	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> ICU <input type="checkbox"/> Ward	<input type="checkbox"/> ICU <input type="checkbox"/> Ward	<input type="checkbox"/> ICU <input type="checkbox"/> Ward	<input type="checkbox"/> ICU <input type="checkbox"/> Ward	N/A	N/A

<b>REMAP-CAP: Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain Summary</b>	
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)</li> <li>High titer convalescent plasma</li> </ul>
Unit-of-analysis, Strata and State	<p>This Domain is analyzed only in the pandemic statistical model.</p> <p>The pandemic statistical model includes patients who are in the pandemic infection suspected or confirmed (PISOP) stratum. Within the stratum the unit-of-analysis is defined by illness severity state at the time of enrollment, defined as either Moderate State or Severe State and by Prior Monoclonal Antibody strata status. Borrowing is permitted between states and strata. Version 3 of this DSA also establishes a new domain-specific stratum, the Immune Suppressed stratum, to define eligibility to patients enrolled using Version 3 but is applied, retrospectively for the purposes of analysis, to patients enrolled using earlier versions of this DSA. Response adaptive randomization will be applied to all PISOP patients, in each illness severity state. Response Adaptive Randomization may be applied differentially according to Prior Monoclonal Antibody strata status.</p>
Evaluable treatment-by-treatment Interactions	No other interactions will be evaluated with any other domain.
Nesting	None
Timing of Reveal	Randomization with Deferred Reveal at time of confirmation of infection by microbiological testing.
Inclusions	<p>Patients will be eligible for this domain if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SARS-CoV-2 infection is confirmed by microbiological testing</li> <li>Patient has an underlying immunodeficiency or has received recent immunosuppressant therapy</li> </ul>
Domain-Specific Exclusions	<p>Patients will be excluded from this domain if they have any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If in the Severe State, more than 48 hours has elapsed since ICU admission, unless the patient has already been assigned to an intervention in another domain in the Moderate State, in which case exclusion will occur if more than 48 hours has elapsed since commencement of sustained organ failure support in an ICU</li> <li>Patient has already received treatment with any non-trial prescribed polyclonal antibody therapy (hyperimmune immunoglobulin, or convalescent plasma) intended to be active against SARS-CoV-2 during this hospital admission</li> <li>More than 14 days have elapsed since hospital admission</li> <li>The treating clinician believes that participation in the domain would not be in the best interests of the patient</li> </ul>
Intervention-Specific Exclusions	<p>Criteria that exclude a patient from one or more interventions are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Known hypersensitivity to an agent specified as an intervention in this domain will exclude a patient from receiving that agent</li> <li>Known previous history of transfusion-related acute lung injury will exclude a patient from receiving convalescent plasma</li> <li>Known objection to receiving plasma products will exclude a patient from receiving any plasma components</li> </ul>
Outcome measures	<p>Primary REMAP endpoint: refer to REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix and REMAP-COVID Core Protocol</p> <p>Secondary REMAP endpoints: refer to REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix and REMAP-COVID Core Protocol</p> <p>Secondary Domain-specific endpoints (during index hospitalization censored 90 days from the date of enrolment):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All-cause mortality at 28 days censored at hospital discharge</li> <li>Confirmed deep venous thrombosis</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Confirmed pulmonary embolism</li><li>• Confirmed ischemic stroke</li><li>• Confirmed acute myocardial infarction</li><li>• Other confirmed thrombotic events</li><li>• Serious Adverse Events (SAE) as defined in Core Protocol documents and qualified in this DSA</li></ul>
--	---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>PROTOCOL APPENDIX STRUCTURE .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN DOMAIN-SPECIFIC APPENDIX VERSION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1.	Version history .....	10
<b>4.</b>	<b>COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN THERAPY DOMAIN GOVERNANCE .....</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1.	Domain members .....	11
4.2.	Contact Details .....	12
<b>5.</b>	<b>COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN THERAPY DOMAIN-SPECIFIC WORKING GROUP AUTHORIZATION .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE .....</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1.	Domain definition .....	13
6.2.	Domain-specific background .....	13
6.2.1.	COVID-19 Infection .....	13
6.2.2.	Clinical trials for COVID-19 .....	14
6.2.3.	Convalescent Plasma .....	14
6.2.4.	Rationale for evaluation of high-titer convalescent plasma in immunocompromised patients with COVID-19 .....	16
6.2.5.	Safety profile of convalescent plasma .....	22
6.2.6.	Intervention Strategy for this domain .....	22
<b>7.</b>	<b>DOMAIN OBJECTIVES .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>TRIAL DESIGN .....</b>	<b>24</b>
8.1.	Population .....	24
8.1.1.	State .....	24
8.1.2.	Domain-specific strata .....	24
8.2.	Eligibility criteria .....	24
8.2.1.	Domain inclusion criteria .....	25
8.2.2.	Domain exclusion criteria .....	25
8.2.3.	Intervention exclusion criteria .....	25
8.3.	Interventions .....	26
8.3.1.	Immunoglobulin Therapy Interventions .....	26
8.3.2.	No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo) .....	26
8.3.3.	High titer plasma .....	26
8.4.	Concomitant care .....	27

8.5.	Endpoints .....	27
8.5.1.	Primary endpoint .....	27
8.5.2.	Secondary endpoints .....	27
<b>9.</b>	<b>TRIAL CONDUCT .....</b>	<b>28</b>
9.1.	Domain-specific data collection .....	28
9.2.	Criteria for discontinuation .....	28
9.3.	Blinding .....	28
9.3.1.	Blinding .....	28
9.3.2.	Unblinding .....	28
<b>10.</b>	<b>STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....</b>	<b>28</b>
10.1.	Domain-specific stopping rules.....	28
10.2.	Unit-of-analysis and strata .....	29
10.3.	Timing of revealing of randomization status .....	30
10.4.	Interactions with interventions in other domains .....	30
10.5.	Nesting of interventions .....	31
10.6.	Threshold probability for superiority and inferiority .....	31
10.7.	Threshold odds ratio delta for equivalence .....	31
10.8.	Informative priors .....	31
10.9.	Post-trial Sub-groups.....	32
<b>11.</b>	<b>ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....</b>	<b>32</b>
11.1.	Data Safety and Monitoring Board .....	32
11.2.	Potential domain-specific adverse events .....	33
11.3.	Domain-specific consent issues .....	33
<b>12.</b>	<b>GOVERNANCE ISSUES .....</b>	<b>34</b>
12.1.	Funding of domain .....	34
12.2.	Funding of domain interventions and outcome measures.....	34
12.3.	Domain-specific declarations of interest .....	34
<b>13.</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>35</b>

## 1. ABBREVIATIONS

ADE	Antibody-dependent enhancement
CCP	Clinical Characterization Protocol
CRP	C-reactive protein
CVA	Cerebrovascular accident
DSA	Domain-Specific Appendix
DSWG	Domain-Specific Working Group
DSMB	Data Safety and Monitoring Board
DVT	Deep vein thrombosis
ICNARC	Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
ISIG	International Statistics Interest Group
ITSC	International Trial Steering Committee
MERS-CoV	Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus
NHS	National Health Service of the United Kingdom
NHSBT	National Health Service Blood and Transplant
PatC	Pandemic Appendix to the Core Protocol
PE	Pulmonary Embolism
PISOP	Pandemic Infection Suspected or Proven
PT	Prothrombin time
REMAP-CAP	Randomized, Embedded, Multifactorial, Adaptive Platform trial for Community-Acquired Pneumonia
RSA	Region-Specific Appendix
SAE	Serious Adverse Event
SARS	Serious Acute Respiratory Syndrome
TACO	Transfusion-Associated Circulatory Overload
TRALI	Transfusion-related acute lung injury
TTI	Transfusion-Associated Circulatory Overload
WHO	World Health Organization

## 2. PROTOCOL APPENDIX STRUCTURE

The structure of this protocol is different to that used for conventional trials because this trial is highly adaptive and the description of these adaptations is better understood and specified using a 'modular' protocol design. While, all adaptations are pre-specified, the structure of the protocol is designed to allow the trial to evolve over time, for example by the introduction of new domains or interventions or both (see glossary, Section 1.2 Core Protocol for definitions of these terms) and commencement of the trial in new geographical regions.

The protocol has multiple modules, in brief, comprising a Core Protocol (overview and design features of the study), a Statistical Analysis Appendix (details of the current statistical analysis plan and models) and Simulations Appendix (details of the current simulations of the REMAP), multiple Domain-Specific Appendices (DSA) (detailing all interventions currently being studied in each domain), and multiple Regions-Specific Appendices (RSA) (detailing regional management and governance).

The Core Protocol contains all information that is generic to the trial, irrespective of the regional location in which the trial is conducted and the domains or interventions that are being tested. The Core Protocol may be amended but it is anticipated that such amendments will be infrequent.

The Core Protocol does not contain information about the intervention(s), within each domain, because one of the trial adaptations is that domains and interventions will change over time. Information about interventions, within each domain, is covered in a DSA. These Appendices are anticipated to change over time, with removal and addition of options within an existing domain, at one level, and removal and addition of entire domains, at another level. Each modification to a DSA will be subject of a separate ethics application for approval.

The Core Protocol does not contain detailed information about the statistical analysis or simulations, because the analysis model will change overtime in accordance with the domain and intervention trial adaptations but this information is contained in the Statistical Analysis and Simulations Appendices. These Appendices are anticipated to change over time, as trial adaptations occur. Each modification will be subject to approval from the International Trial Steering Committee (ITSC) in conjunction with advice from the International Statistics Interest Group (ISIG) and the Data Safety and Monitoring Board (DSMB).

The Core Protocol also does not contain information that is specific to a particular region in which the trial is conducted, as the locations that participate in the trial are also anticipated to increase

over time. Information that is specific to each region that conducts the trial is contained within a RSA. This includes information related to local management, governance, and ethical and regulatory aspects. It is planned that, within each region, only that region's RSA, and any subsequent modifications, will be submitted for ethical review in that region.

The current version of the Core Protocol (either REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix or REMAP-COVID Core Protocol), DSAs, RSAs, and the Statistical Analysis Appendix is listed in the Protocol Summary and on the study website ([www.remapcap.org](http://www.remapcap.org)).

### **3. COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN DOMAIN-SPECIFIC APPENDIX VERSION**

The version of the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain-Specific Appendix is in this document's header and on the cover page.

#### ***3.1. Version history***

Version 1: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain-Specific Working Group (DSWG) on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2020

Version 1.01: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2020

Version 2.2: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2020. This version applied only to sites in Canada.

Version 2.3: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2020. This version applied only to sites in the United States of America.

Version 2.4: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2020. This version applied only to sites in Australia.

Version 2.5: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2020. This version applied only to sites in New Zealand.

Version 3: Approved by the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy DSWG on <insert date>. This version applies in all regions.

## 4. COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN THERAPY DOMAIN GOVERNANCE

### *4.1. Domain members*

**Chair:**

A/Prof. Lise Estcourt

**Co-chairs:**

Dr. Colin McArthur (New Zealand)

A/Prof. Zoe McQuilten (Australia)

A/Prof. Bryan McVerry (United States of America)

Prof. Alistair Nichol (Ireland)

Prof. Manu Shankar-Hari (United Kingdom)

Prof. Alexis Turgeon (Canada)

**Members:**

Prof. Derek Angus

Dr. Donald Arnold

Dr. Phillipe Begin

A/Prof. Scott Berry

Dr Jeannie Callum

Dr. Richard Charlewood

Dr. Michael Chasse

Prof. Jamie Cooper

A/Prof. Mark Coyne

Dr Thomas Craven

Dr. James Daly

Dr. Lennie Derde

Prof. Dean Fergusson

Prof. Anthony Gordon

Prof. Iain Gosbell

Mr. Cameron Green

Dr. Heli Harvala

Dr. Thomas Hills

Dr. David Huang  
Prof. Stephen Jolles  
Dr. Helen Leavis  
Dr. Sheila MacLennan  
Prof. John Marshall  
Prof. David Menon  
Dr. Susan Morpeth  
Mr. Paul Mouncey  
A/Prof. Srinivas Murthy  
Mr Gavin Pettigrew  
Dr. Nicole Priddee  
Prof. David Roberts  
Prof. Kathy Rowan  
Prof. Damian Purcell  
Dr. Jon Silversides  
Ms. Cara Hudson  
Dr. Alan Tinmouth  
Dr. Darrell Triulzi  
Prof. Steve Webb  
Prof. Erica Wood  
Dr. Ryan Zarychanski

#### ***4.2. Contact Details***

**Chair:**

Dr Lise Estcourt  
NHS Blood and Transplant  
Level 2 John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford  
United Kingdom, OX3 9BQ  
Phone: +447823 351936  
Email: [lise.estcourt@nhsbt.nhs.uk](mailto:lise.estcourt@nhsbt.nhs.uk)

## 5. COVID-19 IMMUNOGLOBULIN THERAPY DOMAIN-SPECIFIC WORKING GROUP AUTHORIZATION

The COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain-Specific Working Group (DSWG) have read the appendix and authorize it as the official COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain-Specific Appendix for the study entitled REMAP-CAP. Signed on behalf of the committee,

Chair  
Lise Estcourt



Date <insert date>

## 6. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

### 6.1. Domain definition

This is a domain within the REMAP-CAP to test the effectiveness of different strategies for immunoglobulin therapy for patients with acute illness due to microbiological testing-confirmed COVID-19.

### 6.2. Domain-specific background

#### 6.2.1. COVID-19 Infection

COVID-19 is caused by a novel coronavirus designated SARS-CoV-2. In December 2019, COVID-19 was first reported when a cluster of patients with severe pneumonia of unknown cause was identified in Wuhan, China. SARS-CoV-2 quickly spread across the globe and the WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic in March 2020 (<https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200311-sitrep-51-covid-19.pdf>). The spectrum of illness due to SARS-CoV-2 ranges from asymptomatic infection through to severe pneumonia, respiratory distress, multiorgan dysfunction, and death. A substantial proportion of patients admitted to hospital because of COVID-19 require provision of organ failure support in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and in-hospital mortality within this group is high (Tan et al., 2021). Early clinical management recommendations focus on supportive care, including organ support as needed and the prevention of complications. Effective treatments are urgently needed. The WHO have recommended that “investigational anti-COVID-19 therapeutics should be used only in approved, randomized, controlled trials” (<https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of->

[novel-cov.pdf](#)).

### 6.2.2. Clinical trials for COVID-19

Observational data cannot determine treatment effects reliably due to the risk of systematic bias (Califf et al., 2020). Clinical trials to identify effective COVID-19 treatments are needed and a large number of trials are underway. Early in the pandemic, the WHO provided guidance regarding both trial design and prioritization of candidate therapies.

As effective COVID-19 treatments have been identified, ‘standard of care’, both inside and outside of a clinical trial, has changed to incorporate the use of agents with proven efficacy. REMAP-CAP randomizes COVID-19 patients to a range of therapeutic interventions across different domains. Up to date information regarding active and inactive interventions and domains is available at [www.remapcap.org](http://www.remapcap.org).

It is recognized that in patients with COVID-19 the effect of treatments can be different depending on stage or progression and severity of illness (Recovery Collaborative Group et al., 2020). As such, therapies should be evaluated independently in pre-defined patient groups e.g. those who are critically ill, those who are admitted to hospital but are not critically ill, those who have COVID-19 but have not been admitted to hospital, and those who impaired immunity to fight the infection. Among trials that evaluate interventions in patients who are critically ill, it is common for the results of the trial to be different to that which was predicted based on a prior understanding of mechanism of action combined with known mechanism of disease (Landoni et al., 2015, Webb, 2015). This observation reinforces the importance of not necessarily relying on extrapolation of results (both positive and negative) from patients who are not critically ill. It is also possible different disease mechanisms apply at different levels of illness severity and that this may influence the balance between beneficial and adverse effects of a particular intervention, reinforcing the importance of obtaining estimates of treatment effect dependent on the level of illness severity.

### 6.2.3. Convalescent Plasma

Convalescent plasma treatment, containing high titers of polyclonal antibody (Ab), had been used prior to the COVID-19 pandemic to treat severe viral pneumonia. Many studies have been poorly controlled but such series have shown decreased mortality in Spanish Influenza A (H1N1) infections in 1918 - 1920 (Luke et al., 2006, McGuire and Redden, 1918), Influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 infections in 2009/2010 (Hung et al., 2011, Ortiz et al., 2013) and more relevantly to this trial, SARS-CoV infections in 2003 (Cheng et al., 2005, Soo et al., 2004). A systematic review and meta-analysis performed identified 699 treated patients with SARS coronavirus infection and severe influenza and

568 untreated “controls” (Mair-Jenkins et al., 2015) found consistent reports of a reduction in mortality. Post hoc meta-analysis showed a statistically significant reduction in the pooled odds of mortality following treatment, compared with placebo or no therapy (odds ratio, 0.25; 95% CI:0.14–0.45) (Mair-Jenkins et al., 2015).

Convalescent plasma therapy has been widely used to treat patients with COVID-19, both within clinical trials and through access outside clinical trials, such as the Expanded Access Program in the United States. A systematic review of use of convalescent plasma for patients with COVID-19 included 12 randomized controlled trials and one non-randomized study with 48,509 participants, of whom 41,880 received convalescent plasma (Valk et al., 2020). In patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 disease, convalescent plasma did not reduce all-cause mortality (risk ratio 0.98, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.05) and had little or no impact on clinical improvement. There was only one trial included in asymptomatic or mild disease. The largest trial was the RECOVERY trial, which enrolled 16,287 hospitalized adults with COVID-19 and found no difference in 28-day mortality between those who received convalescent plasma and those who received standard of care (24% vs. 24%, rate ratio 1.00, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.07,  $p=0.95$ ) (Recovery Collaborative Group, 2021). There was also no difference observed in the prespecified subgroups, including based on days since symptom onset or antibody test result at baseline.

Results from Version 1.0 of the REMAP-CAP Immunoglobulin Domain have now been published (Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators et al., 2021). This trial showed that administration of two units of convalescent plasma had a low likelihood of improving organ-support free days in critically ill patients (median number of days alive and free of organ support was 14 (IQR 3 to 18) in convalescent plasma arm and 14 (IQR 7 to 18) in standard of care arm, posterior probability of futility (odds ratio  $<1.2$ ) was 99.4%).

Taken together, these results suggest for unselected patients, two units of convalescent plasma does not improve outcomes in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. However, there was a possible benefit in patients with an impaired immune system, 89% posterior probability of superiority. No other trials have assessed the immunosuppressed population in detail.

## 6.2.4. Rationale for evaluation of high-titer convalescent plasma in immunocompromised patients with COVID-19

### 6.2.4.1. Availability of high-titer plasma for therapeutic use

Convalescent plasma will be collected from whole blood or via apheresis from vaccinated donors, who have had a previous laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. This is because convalescent plasma from donors who have been vaccinated have much higher antibody levels and a broader spectrum of response than convalescent donors who have not been vaccinated.

Plasma will contain a minimum neutralizing antibody titer of 1:640 against delta variant (B1.617.2) or the relevant predominant variant within a country or region, as this will allow a titer of 1:100 to be achieved in an average recipient and this level is considered sufficient to neutralize the virus within the recipient. Approximately 30% of vaccinated donors with a previous infection will demonstrate these neutralizing antibody levels.

A surrogate test that equates to this neutralization titer can be used for screening of units. For example, antibody level of 20,000 U/ml determined by Roche Elecsys anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike assay has been shown to reliably identify units containing a minimum neutralizing antibody titer of 1:640 against delta variant. Euroimmun Spike IgG ELISA (additional 1:100 dilution of sample required): a minimum s/co ratio of 1800 IU/ml (requires use of International Standard). Other surrogate assays may be used to screen plasma donations provided they have been shown to equate to a similar antibody level using an international standard.

It is recommended that plasma or serum sample from each convalescent plasma donation provided for clinical trial is also stored for further testing to allow data comparison between countries.

#### 6.2.4.1.1. *Justification for the use of vaccinated plasma of a higher titer*

In the REMAP-CAP trial of convalescent plasma, convalescent plasma donors in the UK were selected with the highest quartile of anti-Spike antibody levels and with a corresponding mean neutralizing antibody titers (nAb) against the wild-type virus of ~1:250.

However, the nAb titers against the alpha variant fell by approximately a factor of two, and against the beta and delta variants by x5 and x 3 respectively (Figure 1a). Therefore, much higher nAb titers will be required for future trials.




Figure 1. Level of viral neutralization of different viral variants by convalescent plasma a) pre-vaccinated donors, b) after 1 dose of vaccine and c) after two doses of vaccine.

We tested a random sample of our plasma donors, originally infected with wild-type (WT) or alpha variant, for nAb against the alpha, beta and gamma variants, after receiving AstraZeneca (AZ) or Pfizer vaccines. Vaccinated donors with the highest cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies can be readily identified (Figure 1).

A very significant proportion of vaccinated donors achieved very high nAb levels. Donors with a ROCHE Elecsys anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike assay result of >20,000 U/ml have nAb titers against the delta variant with a geometric mean greater than 1:640 (Table 1). There were no significant differences between donors given AZ or Pfizer vaccine.

Table 1. Geometric mean of neutralizing antibody titer for different viral variants (wild type, alpha, beta and delta variant) for differing Roche S IgG ELISA thresholds

		Geometric mean neutralizing antibody titer			
	N	Wild type	Alpha variant (B117)	Beta variant (B1.351)	Delta variant (B1.617)
Roche S IgG over 10,000 U/ml	26 (53%)	1627	1627	440	452
Roche S IgG over 15,000 U/ml	19 (39%)	2133	1912	553	574
Roche S IgG over 20,000 U/ml	15 (31%)	2334	2229	611	670

The high titers of cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies in vaccinated donors could allow higher levels of cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies to be achieved in recipients.

A dose of two units each with a volume of 275ml and a mean nAb titer of at least 1:640 would allow a nAb titer of >1:100 against the delta variant to be achieved in a 70kg recipient. The proposed trial would therefore have a realistic chance of testing the efficacy of convalescent plasma in immunocompromised (see later for rationale for evaluation in immunocompromised patients).

As demonstrated, donors with high-titer cross-reactive antibodies could be readily recruited. Moreover, the principle that antibodies derived after natural infection can be significantly boosted against heterologous variants by vaccination suggests donors with high-titer, cross-reactive polyclonal antibody therapy could be sourced as the pandemic evolves. It is therefore feasible to test polyclonal antibody therapy for COVID-19 within REMAP-CAP.

#### *6.2.4.2. Rationale for previous monoclonal antibody therapy stratification*

The available monoclonal antibodies were designed using the original wild-type strain and new variants may become resistant to the available monoclonal antibody therapies. This has already happened with the SARS-CoV-2 virus and one monoclonal antibody. Monotherapy with bamlanivimab has had its emergency use authorization (EUA) withdrawn due to development of viral resistance (<https://www.fda.gov/media/147629/download>). This may mean that monoclonal cocktails (at least two different monoclonal therapies given together) are effective and less likely to lead to resistance than use of a single monoclonal. However, even a monoclonal cocktail could become ineffective in the future, as shown by the prospective mapping of viral variants that detected a potential mutation (E406W) that could escape neutralization by both components of the Regeneron monoclonal cocktail (<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/371/6531/850.abstract>). A small non-randomized study showed development of escape mutations after treatment with bamlanivimab and etesevimab monoclonal cocktail in patients with hematological malignancies associated with clinical deterioration (Pommeret et al., 2021). These patients all received convalescent plasma therapy after the monoclonal cocktail, and four out of the five patients survived.

In many locations in which REMAP-CAP recruits there is likely to be prophylaxis or treatment of patients with monoclonal antibody therapy. In hospitalized patients, this will likely occur in patients who are seronegative and approximately 50% of patients with immunosuppression within the REMAP-CAP trial were seronegative at baseline. The use of monoclonal therapy prior to hospital admission will be in high risk patients, which includes this immunocompromised cohort. It is possible that prior treatment with monoclonal antibody may influence whether there is a treatment effect

from high-titer plasma and if high titer convalescent plasma can overcome development of resistant variants as highlighted in the small study above.

As such, we will create a Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum so that we can generate independent estimates of treatment effect with independent application of statistical triggers, but permitting borrowing between strata. We will also compare the spectrum of viral variants and characterize significant mutations at baseline between those who have received monoclonal therapy and those who have not in selected study sites. Monoclonal therapy is expensive, requires specialized manufacturing units, and it is likely that supply will be constrained. It is therefore a treatment that most low- and middle-income countries cannot afford and will be unable to manufacture locally. Convalescent plasma can be produced in many countries of the world and is much more affordable (Libster et al., 2021). Therefore, when thinking about whether to use passive immunization therapy consideration needs to be made not just on its effectiveness, but also on its accessibility.


#### *6.2.4.1. Rationale for assessment of viral variants*

Patients with immune suppression are known to develop viral variants, especially when they have prolonged carriage of the virus (Corey et al., 2021). Due to this risk, patients with immunosuppression should be swabbed to ensure that viral shedding has ceased. Monitoring of viral variants will be important to ensure that administration of convalescent plasma does not increase the risk of viral variants and enables the virus to be cleared more rapidly. Assessment of viral variants will be an optional sub-study dependent on the feasibility to perform the analyses in different research centers, countries or regions.

#### *6.2.4.2. Rationale for evaluation of high-titer plasma in immune suppressed patients*

Although there is no evidence of benefit of convalescent plasma in unselected patient with SARS-CoV-2 infection who has been admitted to hospital, there was evidence of potential benefit in the immunosuppressed patient population derived from data from patients in the Severe state randomized to Version 1.0 of the Immunoglobulin Domain of REMAP-CAP (Figure 2). Convalescent plasma therapy demonstrated a posterior probability of superiority of 89.8%, and posterior probability of futility of 23.8% (OR < 1.2) for the immunosuppressed subgroup. There was also a 92.9% probability that the OR for the immunosuppressed population was larger than the non-immunosuppressed population (evidence for sub-group difference). Although this evidence is not conclusive that there is a difference, it provides strong support to further investigate the efficacy of convalescent plasma for this sub-group. Due to the small number of participants randomized in the moderate state, an assessment of the intervention in immunosuppressed individuals randomized in

the moderate state was unable to be performed, however we assume that a similar effect is likely to be seen. Therefore, moderate patients will be included in this version of the domain.



Abbreviations: CP, convalescent plasma; EI, Euroimmun; OSFD, organ support-free days; PCR, polymerization chain reaction.

<sup>a</sup>Data for sub-group analyses excluded participants who had been randomized within another domain within the moderate stratum and then randomized to the immunoglobulin domain in the severe stratum (excluded 7 participants), maximum of 1980 participants included within the sub-group analyses. The analysis population for subgroup analyses includes 1980 participants where 1972 have known outcomes of OSFD.

<sup>b</sup>An odds ratio > 1 equates to the threshold for superiority to control for the primary outcome. An odds ratio < 1.2 equates to the threshold for futility for the primary outcome.

<sup>c</sup>For the number of convalescent plasma doses administered with a Euroimmun titer ≥ 8, the number of participants analyzed equals total number in the No-CP group (900) plus the number in the intervention group who received those number of convalescent plasma doses.

<sup>d</sup>Immunodeficiency was defined as immunosuppressive treatment or disease (APACHE definition)

Figure 2. Prespecified sub-group analyses of primary outcome (organ-support free days)

Non-randomized studies have suggested benefit of convalescent plasma in immunosuppressed patients, or sub-groups such as patients with hematological malignancies. A review of the literature in April 2021 (Senefeld et al., 2021) identified 75 reports on immunosuppressed patients receiving convalescent plasma, there were 51 case reports and 23 case series, as well as one case-control study. In this case-control study 143 treated adult patients with hematological malignancies were compared to 823 untreated controls. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, convalescent plasma treatment was associated with improved 30-day mortality (hazard ratio, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.37-0.97). This association remained significant after propensity-score matching (hazard ratio, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.29-0.92) (Thompson et al., 2021). The association with reduced mortality persisted in the subgroup of patients who were admitted to ICU and who required mechanical ventilation.

The RECOVERY trial has shown a benefit of monoclonal therapy in patients who are antibody negative. Passive immune therapy has therefore been shown to be effective in the sub-group of patients who have not developed an antibody response at the time of treatment, if antibody therapy is administered at sufficient dose (Recovery Collaborative Group, 2021).

There are a significant number of people with an impaired immune system who would be eligible to be included within the trial. For example, there are an estimated 500,000 people in England who would fulfill the criteria of immunosuppression according to the APACHE definition (Table 2) (Knaus et al., 1991). This patient population are also those who are less likely to respond to COVID-19 vaccinations (Boyarsky et al., 2021, Agha et al., 2021, Herishanu et al., 2021, Touizer et al., 2021) and are at risk of more severe COVID-19 disease (Belsky et al., 2021).

*Table 2. Estimated population of immunosuppressed individuals in England*

<b>Immunosuppressed population</b>	<b>Estimated number in England November 2020</b>
<b>Receiving immunosuppressive therapy e.g. rituximab</b>	114,000
<b>Blood cancers</b>	188,000
<b>Other solid cancers receiving chemotherapy</b>	56,000
<b>Lung cancer receiving radical radiotherapy</b>	3,000
<b>Long-term steroids</b>	1,367
<b>Stem cell transplants (within 6 months)</b>	2,000

<b>Stem cell transplants + immunosuppression</b>	681
<b>Solid organ transplants</b>	56,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>Approx. 500,000</b>

#### 6.2.5. Safety profile of convalescent plasma

More than 500,000 units of convalescent plasma have been issued for treatment of COVID-19 patients in the United States of America through an expanded access program, and then emergency use authorization (Kamel, 2021). In a convenience sample of 20,000 of these patients, mostly with ‘severe’ or ‘life-threatening’ COVID-19, the administration of convalescent plasma was generally safe with a low rate of serious adverse events. Specifically, transfusion reactions (n=89; <1%), thromboembolic or thrombotic events (n=87; <1%), and cardiac events (n=680, ~3%) were uncommon and the majority of thromboembolic/thrombotic (55/87) and cardiac events (562/680) were deemed to be unrelated to the convalescent plasma therapy.

In the REMAP-CAP Immunoglobulin Domain version 1.0, only one transfusion-related adverse event occurred despite over 1000 participants receiving convalescent plasma, an assumed allergic reaction. Venous thrombo-embolic events at 90 days were similar between convalescent plasma and standard of care groups (74/1075 (6.9%) in the convalescent plasma arm and 61/905 (6.7%) in the control arm) (Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators et al., 2021).

In the RECOVERY trial, the incidence of transfusion-related adverse events was again low, 13/5301 patients receiving convalescent plasma had reports submitted to the United Kingdom’s Serious Hazards of Transfusion (SHOT) hemovigilance scheme: nine patients with pulmonary reactions (none considered to be transfusion-related acute lung injury, including three deaths possibly related to transfusion), and four patients with serious febrile, allergic, or hypotensive reactions (all of whom recovered) (Recovery Collaborative Group, 2021).

No cases of antibody dependent enhancement were reported to SHOT within either the REMAP-CAP or RECOVERY trials.

#### 6.2.6. Intervention Strategy for this domain

This domain of REMAP-CAP has evolved, taking into account evidence derived from the results from the first stage of this domain in REMAP-CAP, other clinical trials, as well as availability of effective

immunoglobulin therapies. WHO guidance notes the flexibility associated with REMAP-CAP as a platform for the testing of multiple agents, including serial testing of additional interventions (<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/330680/WHO-HEO-RDBlueprint%28nCoV%29-2020.1-eng.pdf?ua=1>).

At the recommencement of this domain a control group is included (i.e. some patients will not receive any polyclonal immunoglobulin therapy that is intended to be active against COVID-19 infection). This is appropriate because designs that include only active interventions are not able to ascertain if any option is better or worse than no treatment. Any further changes to the intervention structure of the domain will be specified using one or more amendments to this DSA with implementation occurring only after ethical approval has been obtained.

If at any stage evidence of harm or definitive evidence of absence of effectiveness in moderately or critically ill patients emerges for any intervention specified in this domain, the ITSC, as advised by the DSWG, may remove an intervention prior to declaration of a Platform Conclusion. If this occurs, presentation and publication of results that relate to that intervention will occur, so as to contribute additional weight of evidence available in the public domain.

## **7. DOMAIN OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this domain is to determine the effectiveness of immunoglobulin therapy for patients with acute illness due to microbiological testing-confirmed COVID-19 who are immuno-suppressed at the time of eligibility.

We hypothesize that the probability of occurrence of the primary end-point specified in the relevant core protocol documents will differ based on the immunoglobulin therapy intervention. The following interventions will be available:

- No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)
- High titer convalescent plasma

We hypothesize that the treatment effect of different immunoglobulin strategies is different depending on the illness severity state at the time of enrollment.

We hypothesize that the treatment effect of different immunoglobulin strategies is different depending on whether or not the patient has received any prior treatment with a monoclonal antibody for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## 8. TRIAL DESIGN

This domain will be conducted as part of a REMAP trial. Treatment allocation will be adaptive, as described in the Core Protocol documents.

### 8.1. Population

The REMAP enrolls patients admitted to hospital with acute illness due to suspected or proven COVID-19, including patients admitted to ICU.

#### 8.1.1. State

This domain is available for patients who have acute illness due to proven pandemic infection in the Moderate State and the Severe State.

#### 8.1.2. Domain-specific strata

Domain-specific strata are applied to patients at the time of assessment for this domain. Sites that participate in this domain must participate in both domain-specific stratum.

##### 8.1.2.1. Prior Monoclonal Antibody strata

Patients in the PISOP stratum who have received any treatment prior to reveal of assignment status for this domain with a monoclonal antibody preparation for SARS-CoV-2 infection during this acute illness will be categorized as members of the Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum.

Patients in the PISOP stratum who have not received any treatment prior to reveal of assignment status for this domain with a monoclonal antibody preparation for SARS-CoV-2 infection during this acute illness will be categorized as members of the No Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum.

##### 8.1.2.2. Immune Suppressed stratum

Version 3 of this domain establishes an Immune Suppressed stratum to provide statistical continuity with immune suppressed patients enrolled in earlier versions of this domain (see Section 10.1). Only patients in the Immune Suppressed stratum will be recruited using this DSA.

### 8.2. Eligibility criteria

Patients are eligible for this domain if they meet all of the platform-level inclusion and none of the platform-level exclusion criteria as specified in either the REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic

Appendix, or the REMAP-COVID Core Protocol. Patients eligible for REMAP may have conditions that exclude them from the COVID-19 Immunoglobulin Therapy Domain.

#### 8.2.1. Domain inclusion criteria

Patients are eligible for this domain if:

- SARS-CoV-2 infection is confirmed by microbiological testing
- Patient has an underlying immunodeficiency or has received recent immunosuppressant therapy, corresponding to the APACHE II definitions (Knaus et al., 1985) , extended to take into account equivalent forms of immunosuppressant therapy that post-date the APACHE II definitions.

#### 8.2.2. Domain exclusion criteria

Patients will be excluded from this domain if they have any of the following:

- If in the Severe State, more than 48 hours has elapsed since ICU admission, unless the patient has already been assigned to an intervention in another domain in the Moderate State, in which case exclusion will occur if more than 48 hours has elapsed since commencement of sustained organ failure support in an ICU
- Patient has already received treatment with any non-trial prescribed polyclonal antibody therapy (hyperimmune immunoglobulin, or convalescent plasma) intended to be active against COVID-19 during this acute illness.
- More than 14 days have elapsed since hospital admission
- The treating clinician believes that participation in the domain would not be in the best interests of the patient

#### 8.2.3. Intervention exclusion criteria

Patients may also be excluded from receiving one or more interventions within the domain for patient-specific reasons.

Patients who are eligible for only a single intervention at a site (i.e. all other interventions are contraindicated) are not eligible for this domain. Patients who are not eligible for this domain will be treated according to the current standard of care at the clinician's discretion. Criteria that exclude a patient from one or more interventions are:

- Known hypersensitivity/allergy to an agent specified as an intervention in this domain will exclude a patient from receiving that agent
- Known previous history of transfusion-related acute lung injury will exclude a patient from receiving high titer plasma
- Known objection to receiving plasma products will exclude a patient from receiving any plasma components

## **8.3. Interventions**

### 8.3.1. Immunoglobulin Therapy Interventions

Patients will be randomly assigned to receive one of the following open-label strategies. All interventions will be commenced immediately after allocation status is revealed.

- No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)
- High titer convalescent plasma

### 8.3.2. No immunoglobulin against COVID-19 (no placebo)

Patients assigned to this intervention will not receive any preparation of polyclonal immunoglobulin intended to neutralize COVID-19 during the index hospitalization. Administration of such a preparation is considered a protocol deviation. Administration of a monoclonal antibody preparation is permitted prior to time of reveal of assigned treatment.

### 8.3.3. High titer plasma

#### *8.3.3.1. Dosing of plasma*

Patients assigned to receive plasma will receive two adult units of ABO compatible convalescent plasma (total volume 550ml  $\pm$  150ml) within 48 hours of randomization. Convalescent plasma must be high titer plasma (as outlined above) derived from whole blood or via apheresis from vaccinated donors who have also had a natural infection. Volume of convalescent plasma administered will be recorded and where available the level of antibodies within each unit will be tested. Plasma or serum sample from each convalescent plasma donation provided for the clinical trial should be stored for further testing to allow comparison of data between countries.

#### *8.3.3.2. Duration of administration of convalescent plasma*

Those receiving plasma will receive a unit of ABO compatible convalescent plasma as soon as possible after assignment is revealed. If the patient has no serious adverse reactions to the

transfusion the second unit of convalescent plasma will be given. Both transfusions should be given within 48 hours from reveal of assignment.

### **8.4. Concomitant care**

Additional immunoglobulin therapy intended to be active against SARS-CoV-2 infection (such as monoclonal antibodies, hyperimmune globulin or convalescent plasma) should not be administered.

All treatment that is not specified by assignment within the platform will be determined by the treating clinician.

### **8.5. Endpoints**

#### 8.5.1. Primary endpoint

The primary endpoint for this domain is the primary outcome specified in the REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix or REMAP-COVID Core Protocol.

#### 8.5.2. Secondary endpoints

All secondary endpoints as specified in the REMAP-CAP Core Protocol + Pandemic Appendix or REMAP-COVID Core Protocol

The domain-specific secondary outcome measures (occurring during the index hospitalization, censored at 90 days after enrollment) will be:

- All-cause mortality at 28 days censored at hospital discharge
- Confirmed deep venous thrombosis
- Confirmed pulmonary embolism
- Confirmed ischemic stroke
- Confirmed acute myocardial infarction
- Other confirmed thrombotic events
- Serious Adverse Events (SAE) as defined in Core Protocol documents and qualified in this DSA

## 9. TRIAL CONDUCT

### ***9.1. Domain-specific data collection***

Additional domain-specific data will be collected on all participants:

- SARS-CoV-2 antibody status at baseline
- Cause of immunosuppression at baseline
- Transfusion-transmitted infection occurring at any time during the study
- Serious clinically diagnosed arterial (e.g. myocardial infarction (MI), cerebrovascular accident (CVA), mesenteric arterial thrombosis) or venous thrombotic events (e.g. deep vein thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary embolism (PE), portal or mesenteric venous thrombosis, or cortical venous sinus thrombosis) occurring during the index hospitalization censored at day 90 after enrolment.
- Viral variants. Method of viral testing and analysis will vary from country to country and will be within country-specific testing guidance document.

### ***9.2. Criteria for discontinuation***

Refer to relevant core protocol documents for criteria for discontinuation of participation in the REMAP-CAP trial.

### ***9.3. Blinding***

#### ***9.3.1. Blinding***

All interventions will be administered on an open-label basis.

#### ***9.3.2. Unblinding***

Not relevant.

## 10. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

### ***10.1. Domain-specific stopping rules***

This domain has adapted as a consequence of a Platform Conclusion in the Severe State and a decision to close recruitment in the Moderate State in response to external evidence, in relation to unselected patients. The domain will recommence with recruitment limited to patients in the

Immune Suppressed stratum. The 'no immunoglobulin against COVID-19' intervention is a continuation of the same intervention in previous versions of this Domain.

The following Platform Conclusions are possible in the four domain specific units-of-analysis (moderate and severe disease state, crossed by Prior Monoclonal Antibody, all within the Immune-Suppressed stratum)

- Superiority of high titer plasma compared to no immunoglobulin
- Futility of high titer plasma compared to no immunoglobulin

In all other respects the stopping rules for this domain are those outlined in the relevant core protocol documents.

## **10.2. Unit-of-analysis and strata**

The population of interest that will be reported as a result of the amendment of this domain are patients in the PISOP stratum who are immune suppressed at the time of the eligibility assessment. Analysis will include patients who have already been recruited and treated with convalescent plasma, as specified in earlier versions of this DSA. So as to achieve continuity with the initial phase of this domain, a stratum is defined as comprising PISOP patients who are immune suppressed. This stratum definition will be applied retrospectively to patients enrolled using earlier versions of this domain and applied prospectively, operationalized as entry criteria, for version 3 (i.e. only patients meeting this stratum definition are recruited using version 3). No new analysis will be reported using patients who do not meet this stratum definition, i.e. patients who are not immune suppressed.

All patients recruited using earlier versions of this DSA are classified as members of the No Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum. Administration of a monoclonal antibody directed against SARS-CoV-2 was an exclusion criteria in all earlier versions of this DSA.

This domain is analyzed only in the pandemic statistical model and includes only patients who are in the pandemic suspected or proven stratum, as specified in the REMAP-CAP Pandemic Appendix and corresponding to the eligibility criteria specified in the REMAP-COVID Core Protocol. Within this stratum, the unit-of-analysis is defined by illness severity state at time of enrollment, defined as either Moderate State or Severe State and by status with respect to Prior Monoclonal Antibody strata.

Borrowing is permitted between states and strata, i.e. borrowing will occur between the Prior Monoclonal Antibody and the No Prior Monoclonal Antibody stratum and between Moderate and Severe states. The domain will commence with balanced randomization and subsequently Response Adaptive Randomization will be applied to patients in each severity state but not applied according to Prior Monoclonal Antibody strata status. The time at which RAR is applied will be an operational decision of the SAC but RAR will not be applied until at least an additional 100 patients have been recruited

If RAR is applied, the cap on the maximum proportion of patients assigned to an intervention that is specified in core protocol documents may be reduced by the Statistical Analysis Committee (SAC) if needed to reduce the likelihood of sites being unblinded during a period of rapid recruitment. If a reduced cap is applied this will be an operational decision of the SAC, who will inform the DSMB, but blinded trial personnel will not be informed.

The shock strata will not contribute to unit-of-analysis for this domain, as this strata is not applied in the Pandemic Statistical Model.

The influenza strata will not contribute to unit-of-analysis for this domain, as this strata is not applied in the Pandemic Statistical Model.

### ***10.3. Timing of revealing of randomization status***

The timing of the revealing of allocation status and administration of interventions is specified to be Randomization with Deferred Reveal to permit confirmation of microbiological diagnosis if results of testing are not known at the time of initial assessment of eligibility (see relevant core protocol documents).

### ***10.4. Interactions with interventions in other domains***

Interactions with all other domains are either not evaluable or not considered possible and will not be incorporated into the statistical model or models in which this domain is evaluated.

If an interaction is specified with a future domain, it is sufficient for the interaction to be specified only in the DSA of such a future domain.

### **10.5.      *Nesting of interventions***

The high titer convalescent plasma (active intervention specified in this DSA and designated P4) will be nested with the (low titer) convalescent plasma intervention (P2 and the active intervention in earlier versions of this DSA approved in regions other than the United States) in the immune suppressed and Non-Immune Suppressed stratum. Nesting high titer plasma with low titer plasma in the Immune Suppressed strata effectively borrows information from the previous immune suppressed patients randomized to convalescent plasma. Nesting high titer plasma with low titer plasma in the Non-Immune Suppressed strata is intended to reduce the amount of information borrowed from the previous positive result. This nesting structure can be interpreted as an informative prior for high titer plasma that is a weighted average of the low titer plasma effect in immune suppressed and Non-Immune Suppressed strata.

Following any Platform Conclusion in this domain, a sensitivity analysis will be conducted that is restricted to patients randomized concurrently to P1 and P4.

### **10.6.      *Threshold probability for superiority and inferiority***

The threshold odds ratio delta for superiority and inferiority in this domain are those specified as the default thresholds in the relevant core protocol documents.

### **10.7.      *Threshold odds ratio delta for equivalence***

The platform conclusion of equivalence will not be evaluated in this domain. The same odds ratio delta as specified in the relevant core protocol documents for equivalence will be used for futility. This will be applied in a one-sided analysis for futility for active interventions specified in this domain.

### **10.8.      *Informative priors***

This domain will launch without the mathematical application of priors that are not informative for main effects. However, the application of nesting between the P2 intervention applied in earlier versions of this DSA and the P4 intervention specified in this DSA has the same effect as would be achieved by the formal application of a prior that was informative. As such, the domain will be re-launched with a hierarchical prior for high titer convalescent plasma as outlined in Section 10.5. The hyperprior distributions for this hierarchy will be non-informative.

If new immunoglobulin agents are added to the domain, consideration will be given to the use of informative priors at the time of amendment of the DSA.

### **10.9. *Post-trial Sub-groups***

Domain-specific post-hoc sub-groups will be used in analysis following the conclusion of one or more interventions within the domain. The a priori patient sub-groups of interest are:

#### Primary sub-group analyses

- Receiving invasive mechanical ventilation at baseline
- Patients with detectable virus at baseline
- Dose of neutralizing antibodies received (based on volume of transfusion and titer measurement)
- SARS-CoV-2 antibody status at baseline
- COVID-19 vaccination status at baseline
- All remaining potentially evaluable treatment-by-treatment interactions with other domains

#### Exploratory sub-group analyses

- Patients known to have received B-cell depleting therapy (anti-CD20, BTKI, CAR-T cell therapy) at baseline
- Patients with known hematological malignancy at baseline
- Patients known to have received a solid organ transplant at baseline

## **11. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### **11.1. *Data Safety and Monitoring Board***

The DSMB should be aware that the superiority or futility of high titer convalescent plasma with respect to the primary endpoint are possible.

The DSMB should take into account the public health, as well as clinical significance, of the analyses of this domain and are empowered to discuss results with relevant international and national public health authorities, with rapid dissemination of results to the larger community being the goal.

Safety secondary outcomes will be reported to the DSMB who are empowered to require additional analyses regarding these outcomes as required.

## **11.2. Potential domain-specific adverse events**

In this domain occurrence of any of the following will be reported as an SAE:

- Severe allergic reaction or anaphylaxis
- Transfusion-associated Acute Lung Injury (TRALI)
- Transfusion-associated Circulatory Overload (TACO)
- Transfusion-associated Dyspnea (TAD)
- Acute serious hemolytic reaction, defined a fever and other symptoms/signs or hemolysis within 24 hours of transfusion, confirmed by a fall in hemoglobin AND one or more of the following:
  - Rise in lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
  - Rise in bilirubin
  - Positive direct antiglobulin test (DAT)
  - Positive crossmatch

These are reactions that are based on the definitions used and reported to the local, regional or national hemovigilance system at each participating site. Other SAEs should be reported only where, in the opinion of the site investigator, the event might reasonably have occurred as a consequence of a study intervention or study participation (see relevant core protocol documents).

## **11.3. Domain-specific consent issues**

As noted in the background and endorsed by the WHO, the use of a no treatment control is both appropriate and ethical.

Clinicians may choose not to enroll individual patients if they feel that participation is not in the patient's best interests, and safety criteria are used to exclude patients from this domain for appropriate clinical reasons.

Entry to the study, for participants who are not competent to consent, is preferred to be via waiver-of-consent or some form of delayed consent, as permitted by local requirements.

During a pandemic, visiting by relatives of affected patients may not be possible. In such situations, alternative methods for confirming consent including electronic and telephone communication, as permitted by an appropriate ethical review body, may be acceptable methods for confirming agreement to participate in this (and other) domains of the platform.

## **12. GOVERNANCE ISSUES**

### **12.1. *Funding of domain***

Funding sources for the REMAP-CAP trial are specified in the core protocol documents. This domain has not received any additional domain-specific funding but such funding, from any source, may be obtained during the life-time of the domain.

### **12.2. *Funding of domain interventions and outcome measures***

Local blood services will supply the convalescent plasma for sites participating in the trial in each region and arrange for distribution to participating sites via its routine distribution system.

### **12.3. *Domain-specific declarations of interest***

All investigators involved in REMAP-CAP maintain a registry of interests on the REMAP-CAP website. These are updated periodically and publicly accessible on the study website.

# 13. REFERENCES

- AGHA, M., BLAKE, M., CHILLO, C., WELLS, A. & HAIDAR, G. 2021. Suboptimal response to COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in hematologic malignancies patients. *medRxiv*.
- BELSKY, J. A., TULLIUS, B. P., LAMB, M. G., SAYEGH, R., STANEK, J. R. & AULETTA, J. J. 2021. COVID-19 in immunocompromised patients: A systematic review of cancer, hematopoietic cell and solid organ transplant patients. *J Infect*, 82, 329-338.
- BOYARSKY, B. J., WERBEL, W. A., AVERY, R. K., TOBIAN, A. A. R., MASSIE, A. B., SEGEV, D. L. & GARONZIK-WANG, J. M. 2021. Antibody Response to 2-Dose SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine Series in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *JAMA*, 325, 2204-2206.
- CALIFF, R. M., HERNANDEZ, A. F. & LANDRAY, M. 2020. Weighing the Benefits and Risks of Proliferating Observational Treatment Assessments: Observational Cacophony, Randomized Harmony. *JAMA*.
- CHENG, Y., WONG, R., SOO, Y. O. Y., WONG, W. S., LEE, C. K., NG, M. H. L., CHAN, P., WONG, K. C., LEUNG, C. B. & CHENG, G. 2005. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 24, 44-46.
- COREY, L., BEYRER, C., COHEN, M. S., MICHAEL, N. L., BEDFORD, T. & ROLLAND, M. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Patients with Immunosuppression. *N Engl J Med*, 385, 562-566.
- HERISHANU, Y., AVIVI, I., AHARON, A., SHEFER, G., LEVI, S., BRONSTEIN, Y., MORALES, M., ZIV, T., SHORER ARBEL, Y., SCARFO, L., JOFFE, E., PERRY, C. & GHIA, P. 2021. Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*, 137, 3165-3173.
- HUNG, I. F., TO, K. K., LEE, C. K., LEE, K. L., CHAN, K., YAN, W. W., LIU, R., WATT, C. L., CHAN, W. M., LAI, K. Y., KOO, C. K., BUCKLEY, T., CHOW, F. L., WONG, K. K., CHAN, H. S., CHING, C. K., TANG, B. S., LAU, C. C., LI, I. W., LIU, S. H., CHAN, K. H., LIN, C. K. & YUEN, K. Y. 2011. Convalescent Plasma Treatment Reduced Mortality in Patients With Severe Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) 2009 Virus Infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 52, 447-456.
- KAMEL, H. 2021. We stand ready ... Blood collection organizations and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Transfusion*, 61, 1345-1349.
- KNAUS, W. A., DRAPER, E. A., WAGNER, D. P. & ZIMMERMAN, J. E. 1985. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med*, 13, 818-29.
- KNAUS, W. A., WAGNER, D. P., DRAPER, E. A., ZIMMERMAN, J. E., BERGNER, M., BASTOS, P. G., SIRIO, C. A., MURPHY, D. J., LOTRING, T., DAMIANO, A. & ET AL. 1991. The APACHE III prognostic system. Risk prediction of hospital mortality for critically ill hospitalized adults. *Chest*, 100, 1619-36.
- LANDONI, G., COMIS, M., CONTE, M., FINCO, G., MUCCHETTI, M., PATERNOSTER, G., PISANO, A., RUGGERI, L., ALVARO, G., ANGELONE, M., BERGONZI, P. C., BOCCHINO, S., BORGHI, G., BOVE, T., BUSCAGLIA, G., CABRINI, L., CALLEGHER, L., CARAMELLI, F., COLOMBO, S., CORNO, L., DEL SARTO, P., FELTRACCO, P., FORTI, A., GANZAROLI, M., GRECO, M., GUARRACINO, F., LEMBO, R., LOBREGGIO, R., MERONI, R., MONACO, F., MUSU, M., PALA, G., PASIN, L., PIERI, M., PISARRA, S., PONTICELLI, G., ROASIO, A., SANTINI, F., SILVETTI, S., SZEKELY, A., ZAMBON, M., ZUCCHETTI, M. C., ZANGRILLO, A. & BELLOMO, R. 2015. Mortality in Multicenter Critical Care Trials: An Analysis of Interventions With a Significant Effect. *Crit Care Med*, 43, 1559-68.
- LIBSTER, R., PEREZ MARC, G., WAPPNER, D., COVIELLO, S., BIANCHI, A., BRAEM, V., ESTEBAN, I., CABALLERO, M. T., WOOD, C., BERRUETA, M., RONDAN, A., LESCO, G., CRUZ, P., RITOU,

- Y., FERNANDEZ VINA, V., ALVAREZ PAGGI, D., ESPERANTE, S., FERRETI, A., OFMAN, G., CIGANDA, A., RODRIGUEZ, R., LANTOS, J., VALENTINI, R., ITCOVICI, N., HINTZE, A., OYARVIDE, M. L., ETCHEGARAY, C., NEIRA, A., NAME, I., ALFONSO, J., LOPEZ CASTELO, R., CARUSO, G., RAPELIUS, S., ALVEZ, F., ETCHENIQUE, F., DIMASE, F., ALVAREZ, D., ARANDA, S. S., SANCHEZ YANOTTI, C., DE LUCA, J., JARES BAGLIVO, S., LAUDANNO, S., NOWOGRODZKI, F., LARREA, R., SILVEYRA, M., LEBERZSTEIN, G., DEBONIS, A., MOLINOS, J., GONZALEZ, M., PEREZ, E., KREPLAK, N., PASTOR ARGUELLO, S., GIBBONS, L., ALTHABE, F., BERGEL, E., POLACK, F. P. & FUNDACION, I.-C.-G. 2021. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. *N Engl J Med*, 384, 610-618.
- LUKE, T. C., KILBANE, E. M., JACKSON, J. L. & HOFFMAN, S. L. 2006. Meta-Analysis: Convalescent Blood Products for Spanish Influenza Pneumonia: A Future H5N1 Treatment? *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 145, 599.
- MAIR-JENKINS, J., SAAVEDRA-CAMPOS, M., BAILLIE, J. K., CLEARY, P., KHAW, F.-M., LIM, W. S., MAKKI, S., ROONEY, K. D., NGUYEN-VAN-TAM, J. S. & BECK, C. R. 2015. The Effectiveness of Convalescent Plasma and Hyperimmune Immunoglobulin for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Infections of Viral Etiology: A Systematic Review and Exploratory Meta-analysis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 211, 80-90.
- MCGUIRE, L. W. & REDDEN, W. R. 1918. The use of convalescent human serum in influenza pneumonia—a preliminary report. *American Journal of Public Health*, 8, 741-744.
- ORTIZ, J. R., RUDD, K. E., CLARK, D. V., JACOB, S. T. & WEST, T. E. 2013. Clinical Research During a Public Health Emergency. *Critical Care Medicine*, 41, 1345-1352.
- POMMERET, F., COLOMBA, J., BIGENWALD, C., LAPARRA, A., BOCKEL, S., BAYLE, A., MICHOT, J. M., HUESO, T., ALBIGES, L., TIBERGHEN, P., MAROT, S., JARY, A., LACOMBE, K., BARLESI, F., GRISCELLI, F. & COLOMBA, E. 2021. Bamlanivimab + etesevimab therapy induces SARS-CoV-2 immune escape mutations and secondary clinical deterioration in COVID-19 patients with B-cell malignancies. *Ann Oncol*, 32, 1445-1447.
- RECOVERY COLLABORATIVE GROUP 2021. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet*, 397, 2049-2059.
- RECOVERY COLLABORATIVE GROUP, HORBY, P., LIM, W. S., EMBERSON, J. R., MAFHAM, M., BELL, J. L., LINSELL, L., STAPLIN, N., BRIGHTLING, C., USTIANOWSKI, A., ELMAHI, E., PRUDON, B., GREEN, C., FELTON, T., CHADWICK, D., REGE, K., FEGAN, C., CHAPPELL, L. C., FAUST, S. N., JAKI, T., JEFFERY, K., MONTGOMERY, A., ROWAN, K., JUSZCZAK, E., BAILLIE, J. K., HAYNES, R. & LANDRAY, M. J. 2020. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. *N Engl J Med*.
- SENEFELD, J. W., KLASSEN, S. A., FORD, S. K., SENESE, K. A., WIGGINS, C. C., BOSTROM, B. C., THOMPSON, M. A., BAKER, S. E., NICHOLSON, W. T., JOHNSON, P. W., CARTER, R. E., HENDERSON, J. P., HARTMAN, W. R., PIROFSKI, L. A., WRIGHT, R. S., FAIRWEATHER, L., BRUNO, K. A., PANETH, N. S., CASADEVALL, A. & JOYNER, M. J. 2021. Use of convalescent plasma in COVID-19 patients with immunosuppression. *Transfusion*, 61, 2503-2511.
- SOO, Y. O. Y., CHENG, Y., WONG, R., HUI, D. S., LEE, C. K., TSANG, K. K. S., NG, M. H. L., CHAN, P., CHENG, G. & SUNG, J. J. Y. 2004. Retrospective comparison of convalescent plasma with continuing high-dose methylprednisolone treatment in SARS patients. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 10, 676-678.
- TAN, E., SONG, J., DEANE, A. M. & PLUMMER, M. P. 2021. Global Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection Requiring Admission to the ICU: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Chest*, 159, 524-536.

- THOMPSON, M. A., HENDERSON, J. P., SHAH, P. K., RUBINSTEIN, S. M., JOYNER, M. J., CHOUEIRI, T. K., FLORA, D. B., GRIFFITHS, E. A., GULATI, A. P., HWANG, C., KOSHKIN, V. S., PAPADOPOULOS, E. B., ROBILOTTI, E. V., SU, C. T., WULFF-BURCHFIELD, E. M., XIE, Z., YU, P. P., MISHRA, S., SENEFFELD, J. W., SHAH, D. P., WARNER, J. L., COVID & CANCER, C. 2021. Association of Convalescent Plasma Therapy With Survival in Patients With Hematologic Cancers and COVID-19. *JAMA Oncol*.
- TOUIZER, E., ALRUBAYYI, A., REES-SPEAR, C., FISHER-PEARSON, N., GRIFFITH, S. A., MUIR, L., PELLEGRINO, P., WATERS, L., BURNS, F., KINLOCH, S., ROWLAND-JONES, S., GUPTA, R. K., GILSON, R., PEPPA, D. & MCCOY, L. E. 2021. Failure to seroconvert after two doses of BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in a patient with uncontrolled HIV. *Lancet HIV*, 8, e317-e318.
- VALK, S. J., PIECHOTTA, V., CHAI, K. L., DOREE, C., MONSEF, I., WOOD, E. M., LAMIKANRA, A., KIMBER, C., MCQUILTEN, Z., SO-OSMAN, C., ESTCOURT, L. J. & SKOETZ, N. 2020. Convalescent plasma or hyperimmune immunoglobulin for people with COVID-19: a rapid review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 5, CD013600.
- WEBB, S. A. 2015. Putting Critical Care Medicine on Trial. *Crit Care Med*, 43, 1767-8.
- WRITING COMMITTEE FOR THE REMAP-CAP INVESTIGATORS, ESTCOURT, L. J., TURGEON, A. F., MCQUILTEN, Z. K., MCVERRY, B. J., AL-BEIDH, F., ANNANE, D., ARABI, Y. M., ARNOLD, D. M., BEANE, A., BEGIN, P., VAN BENTUM-PUIJK, W., BERRY, L. R., BHIMANI, Z., BIRCHALL, J. E., BONTEN, M. J. M., BRADBURY, C. A., BRUNKHORST, F. M., BUXTON, M., CALLUM, J. L., CHASSE, M., CHENG, A. C., COVE, M. E., DALY, J., DERDE, L., DETRY, M. A., DE JONG, M., EVANS, A., FERGUSON, D. A., FISH, M., FITZGERALD, M., FOLEY, C., GOOSSENS, H., GORDON, A. C., GOSBELL, I. B., GREEN, C., HANIFFA, R., HARVALA, H., HIGGINS, A. M., HILLS, T. E., HOAD, V. C., HORVAT, C., HUANG, D. T., HUDSON, C. L., ICHIHARA, N., LAING, E., LAMIKANRA, A. A., LAMONTAGNE, F., LAWLER, P. R., LINSTRUM, K., LITTON, E., LORENZI, E., MACLENNAN, S., MARSHALL, J., MCAULEY, D. F., MCDYER, J. F., MCGLOTHLIN, A., MCGUINNESS, S., MIFLIN, G., MONTGOMERY, S., MOUNCEY, P. R., MURTHY, S., NICHOL, A., PARKE, R., PARKER, J. C., PRIDDEE, N., PURCELL, D. F. J., REYES, L. F., RICHARDSON, P., ROBITAILLE, N., ROWAN, K. M., RYNNE, J., SAITO, H., SANTOS, M., SAUNDERS, C. T., SERPA NETO, A., SEYMOUR, C. W., SILVERSIDES, J. A., TINMOUTH, A. A., TRIULZI, D. J., TURNER, A. M., VAN DE VEERDONK, F., WALSH, T. S., WOOD, E. M., BERRY, S., LEWIS, R. J., MENON, D. K., MCARTHUR, C., ZARYCHANSKI, R., ANGUS, D. C., WEBB, S. A., ROBERTS, D. J. & SHANKAR-HARI, M. 2021. Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Organ Support-Free Days in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 326, 1690-1702.